

Attachment Theory Is Deficient, Inarticulate, and Misleading:

*How a 24-Minute Observation of Toddlers Became Secular
Astrology*

Adam I. Stratmeyer, J.D.
Stratmeyer Analytica

Author Note

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Adam I. Stratmeyer via stratmeyeranalytica.org. The author declares no conflicts of interest other than having been forced to sit through several hours of mandatory professional training in which this framework was presented as unassailable gospel for working with children, complete with invocations of "holistic care," confident pronouncements about lifelong relational wounds, and zero tolerance for the actual numbers. The resulting evidence and critique is what you are about

to read. This is not published under any foundation banner; it is the sole and personal work of Adam Stratmeyer.

Abstract

Attachment theory began as a narrow, mid-20th-century observation about babies crawling toward their mothers when scared. Reasonable enough. Then it metastasized into secular astrology. Bowlby theorized it, Ainsworth tested it on roughly two dozen to five dozen white middle-class Baltimore infants for approximately 24 minutes each in a contrived lab stressor, Hazan and Shaver extended it to adult romance via a self-selected newspaper "Love Quiz," and now the Western therapeutic and child-welfare establishments treat it as settled science justifying interventions in custody, foster care, schools, and therapy rooms.

This paper is a methodological, statistical, and ethical critique of that expansion. The core problems: tiny homogeneous samples, confounded measures, stability coefficients explaining at most 4 to 16 percent of variance, massive temperament and peer confounds, and an unfalsifiable identity taxonomy now imposed on both adults and children with zero epistemic humility. The field's favorite word, "holistic," is exposed as what it is: segmented billing quadrants dressed in virtue. Attachment functions exactly like astrology: vague enough, flattering enough, and Barnum-effect calibrated so that once you tell someone they are "anxious-preoccupied" or "disorganized," they will write the narrative, perform the script, and make it happen. Prior critics (Kagan, Harris, Eyer, Forslund et al.) have said much of this for decades. Nobody listened.

In response, the author introduces the Stratmeyer 7,242-Parameter Personality Assessment, a comprehensively holistic instrument that will tell you exactly who you are, who your soulmate is, and why, using more parameters, better math, and a preliminary validation study (N = 40) that is, by the fields own standards, at least as rigorous as Ainsworth's original sample. Available for \$1,000. Proprietary Warshack-LLM Fusion Module sold separately.

Keywords: *attachment theory, Strange Situation Procedure, secular astrology, temperament confound, Barnum effect, self-fulfilling prophecy, methodological fraud, Stratmeyer 7,242-Parameter Test, holistic, super holistic, the most holistic*

Attachment Theory Is Deficient, Inarticulate, and Misleading:

The Luxury of Neurosis and the Mandatory Indoctrination

Modern therapeutic culture has privatized suffering into boutique identities. Everyday friction becomes trauma narratives demanding accommodation instead of behavioral change. Attachment theory leads the charge. What started as a hypothesis about infant proximity-seeking now functions as secular astrology for adults, and, gallingly, as diagnostic scaffolding for decisions about actual children.

Having just endured a full presentation of this material being pushed as mandatory professional development, I am no longer capable of polite detachment. The presenters spoke of "attachment wounds" and "holistic healing" with the breezy, arrogant certainty of people who use electricity every single day yet could not explain how a turbine works, how the grid is balanced, or what Faraday's law even is. They don't know. They don't care. They just flip the switch, collect the fee, and tell parents their kids' future depends on correctly labeling a 24-minute lab tantrum.

Everyone is writing the narrative, pretending they understand even a fragment of science, and trying to make money off it. It's an echo chamber of helping-profession buzzwords, mostly pushed by people who are mathematically illiterate but possess a supernatural ability to weaponize empathy.

The socioeconomic context is load-bearing here. In material abundance, absent real survival pressure, people manufacture internal narratives. "Avoidant" becomes a fixed identity for what behavioral science calls escape-maintained withdrawal. Patterns are modifiable through contingency management. The framework insists they are not. They are deep, psychological wounds. This displacement of the locus of control is infuriating enough in adult dating discourse, and annoying youtube channels; when it migrates into child protection, life advice, and foster decisions, it becomes actively dangerous.

The Methodological Farce (Some Solid Evidence)

Let us cut through the noise and look at the actual numbers, because the math is scary to the people peddling this snake oil. Shall we?

This is what actually happened. Ainsworth's Strange Situation Procedure from the 1970s watched a few dozen culturally narrow infants in a lab for under half an hour and declared it a universal human love organization. Let's be clear: any neurologically intact baby protests separation in a strange room. That is survival wiring. It is not evidence of trait insecurity. Coding it as a permanent personality is narrative construction with a stopwatch. Cross-cultural meta-analyses (van IJzendoorn & Kroonenberg, 1988) confirm the taxonomy is Baltimore-normed, not universal. When applied to German samples, avoidance spikes; in Japanese samples, resistance spikes. It's measuring cultural caregiving norms, not a standardized biological defect.

The adult extension (Hazan & Shaver, 1987) rests on a newspaper quiz. Self-selected Sunday readers who mailed in their responses. Zero behavioral observation. Just a convenient sample of bored people reading the paper. Later scales improved the psychometrics but could not fix the broken inferential bridge. You cannot build a skyscraper on a foundation of damp cardboard.

The Math

Longitudinal stability for attachment sits at an r value of roughly .20 to .40 (Fraley, 2002; Pinquart et al., 2013; Opie et al., 2020). Let's do the basic arithmetic that the therapy industry actively avoids: an r of .30 equals an r -squared of .09. That is **9 percent** explained variance.

Let it land: the entire industry uses a predictor that accounts for, at best, 4 to 16 percent of adult outcomes to explain 100 percent of relational dysfunction. The remaining 84 to 96 percent, temperament, peer groups, random life chaos, systemic poverty, is completely ignored while practitioners bill \$200 an hour to explore "wounds." Statistical overreach does not begin to cover it. It is intellectual fraud.

The Hitmen of Biological Reality (More Evidence)

This isn't solitary heresy. Decades of rigorous scholars have pointed out that the emperor is naked, often at immense professional cost. Saulte.

Jerome Kagan (The Temperament Confound): Kagan spent a career demonstrating that what gets coded as "insecure" is frequently hardwired temperament misread as relational failure. High-reactive infants cry harder and seek more proximity regardless of maternal sensitivity. Biology gets mislabeled as trauma (Kagan, 1994).

Judith Rich Harris (The Peer Override): Harris synthesized the behavioral-genetic evidence proving that peers and extrafamilial factors override parental determinism. Parental influence lacks the effect sizes to justify the blame placed on them (Harris, 1998). The field largely ignored her because you can't bill a peer group.

Diane Eyer (The Historical Grift): Eyer documented how attachment rhetoric served ideological convenience post-WWII, acting as social engineering to push women out of the workforce and back into domestic roles under the guise of "infant bonding" (Eyer, 1992).

The Semantic Fraud of "Holistic" Care and the Astrology Grift

Narcissist. Holistic. Holistic. Narcissist. Insecure Holistic. Holistic. Disorganized.

That is not holistic. That's segmented. You people have never had a holistic approach to anything in your lives. Words mean absolutely nothing to you.

What gets sold as "holistic" is a crude partitioning of the client into uncoordinated, billable quadrants: somatic here, cognitive there, relational over there, spiritual somewhere else. Failure is blamed on insufficient quadrant coverage rather than the models falsifiability deficit. The framework is engineered to be immune to disconfirmation. You are never cured; you are just "insufficiently holistic." Keep paying.

Attachment theory is textbook secular astrology. It relies entirely on attribution theory and the Barnum (Forer) effect. It is vague enough and positive enough that believers retroactively find confirming evidence everywhere. Tell someone they carry an "attachment wound" and they will make internal attributions that excuse every relational failure: "This is my avoidant style. I can't help it." They will perform the script, push partners away, and confirm the label (Fiserova et al., 2021; Grobman, 2015). The prophecy fulfills itself. Everyone involved writes the narrative, pretends they understand science, and cashes the checks.

Pre-Claiming the Next Wave of Pathologized BS (DSM-6 Speculation)

Because the American Psychiatric Association loves nothing more than turning normal human friction into a billable diagnostic code, I am formally speculating on the next wave of entries for the upcoming DSM updates. I am putting these words in print right now so I get the source citation before some hack at Harvard does.

Post-Therapeutic Locus Displacement (PTLD): The clinical inability to take responsibility for one's own shitty behavior due to over-exposure to pop-psychology jargon on TikTok.

Echo-Chamber Induced Dysregulation Disorder (ECIDD): Experiencing severe nervous system arousal because someone in your social circle disagreed with your self-diagnosed boundary needs.

Algorithmic Identity Fixation (AIF): The compulsion to adopt whatever psychiatric label the YouTube algorithm serves you on a Tuesday night.

Subclinical Limerence-Induced Somatic Rupture (SLISR): Getting ghosted gave you a chronic autoimmune response.

Pathological Avoidance-Spectrum Fixation (PASF): Diagnosing everyone who doesn't want to date you as an "avoidant narcissist."

(Cite me. It's coming.)

The Strattmeyer 7-Part Holistic Paradigm: A Satirical Illustration

To illustrate the arbitrary nature of three- and four-box attachment taxonomies, I propose a mathematically superior framework. Seven is larger than four.(7,242 =HOL) Therefore, it is more scientific.

1. **Cranial-Dietary Axis:** Early trauma is lodged in adult lactose intolerance. Your inability to process dairy is an attachment rupture manifesting somatically.

2. **Socio-Economic Emote-Room:** Real material poverty is bracketed in favor of inner-child work. Let's explore your scarcity mindset.
3. **Somatic-Narcicist-Interface:** Nervous system dysregulation is attributed to one-bar signal degradation. Grounding exercises must be performed near the router.
4. **Retroactive Narrative-Casting:** Any playground-era social failure is retroactively coded as a formative trauma that justifies you being an asshole today.
5. **Acoustic-Avoidance Therapy:** 432Hz ambient audio is administered to unblock chakras active substance use continues.
6. **Synergistic Vibe-Check Matrix:** The therapist intuits the clients energetic field and assigns a color-coded risk profile based on absolute thin air.

Billing and Coding Singularity: All pillars converge into a single invoice, resolving the therapists cashflow anxiety. The real attachment security was the accounts receivable.

This generates four novel attachment styles: **Aggressively Secure-Spiteful, Ambivalent-Parasitic, Disorganized-Caffeinated,** and **Preemptively-Avoidant-Nomadic.**

The Strattmeyer 7,242-Parameter Personality Assessment

Because seven pillars clearly weren't enough, and four attachment boxes are for amateurs, I am proud to introduce the **most holistic** personality instrument ever devised. The Strattmeyer 7,242-Parameter Personality Assessment will tell you exactly who you are. It will tell you who your soulmate is. It will explain your relationship dynamics using LLM vector mathematics, close-association modeling, and proprietary antonym technology. It uses 7,242 parameters across seven unique holistic elements, which is more parameters and more elements than any other instrument in existence, making it objectively more holistic and therefore more accurate. That's how this works, right?

Preliminary validation was conducted on a sample of $N = 40$ adults drawn from a convenience population. This is, by the field's own standards, at least as rigorous as Ainsworth's core classification sample, and arguably superior given that my participants were not exclusively white middle-class Baltimoreans observed for less than half an hour in a lab. My participants filled out a form. Some of them even finished it. The results are conclusive: the instrument works on a rotary basis, meaning the

parameters rotate dynamically based on the respondent's vibrational alignment at the time of administration. This ensures that no two administrations produce identical results, which the field will recognize as a feature rather than a flaw because that's how they treat their own instruments.

Pricing: The full 7,242-parameter assessment is available for \$1,000. This includes a comprehensive personality profile, soulmate compatibility matrix, and a 40-page interpretive report generated by the Warshack-LLM Fusion Module. The proprietary module (1,000 additional projection-based parameters) is available as an add-on. Enterprise licensing for clinics and family court evaluators is negotiable. Volume discounts for people who have already spent more than that on attachment-based therapy and gotten less actionable information.

Below is a representative sample of the instrument. Each trait gets a random score from 1 (absent) to 5 (dominant) However 2 does not exist. It is in fact another 3 or a 1. Interpretation: none required. The rotationary algorithm handles it.

1. covert avoidant narcissism: 5
2. overt somatic attachment: 2
3. trauma-informed barista: 1
4. empathic sociopathy: 4
5. anxious-ambivalent doom-scrolling: 5
6. toxic positivity reservoir: 3
7. inner child tantrums: 5
8. shadow-banned shadow self: 2
9. astrological attachment wound: 1
10. retrograde mercury micro-trauma: 4
11. borderline big d enthusiast: 5
12. insecure secure attachment: 3
13. micro-dosed empathy: 1
14. macro-dosed delusion: 5
15. spiritual bypassing narcissist: 4
16. holistic dissociation: 2
17. chronic boundary moving: 5
18. Pollyamorous polly culture cluster: 1
19. The pile: 5
20. somatic experiencing of a minor inconvenience: 4
21. generational wealth trauma: 2
22. poverty mindset appropriation: 5
23. neuro-spicy psychopath: 3
24. dysregulated nervous system influencer: 5
25. cortisol farming disambiguous: 4
26. selective serotonin disorganized: 1
27. polyvagal vocal fry: 5
28. avoidant narcissist (again): 1

29. narcissist avoidant (but different): 5
30. weaponized incompetence: 4
31. weaponized therapy-speak: 5
32. pathological demand avoidance (self-diagnosed): 3
33. pseudo-intellectual secure deflection: 4
34. covert vulnerability narcissists: 2
35. Overt empath avoidant nonvoidant fragility: 5
36. non-consensual psychoanalysis: 5
37. unsolicited energy: 1
38. gluten-free attachment style: 3
39. dairy-intolerant disorganized: 4
40. attachment style fluidity: 2
41. aesthetic depression: 5
42. performative anxiety: 4
43. main character syndrome: 5
44. NPC narcissist trauma: 1
45. hetosexual 3
46. trauma-dumping empath proficiency: 5
47. water bottle dependency: 4
48. parasocial relationship intensity: 5
49. ghosting rationalization: 1
50. breadcrumbing threshold: 3
51. toxic oxytocin: 5
52. empathic karmic debt collector: 4
53. empath burnout: 2
54. Dark triad adjacent: 1
55. light triad performing: 5
56. high-functioning mess: 3
57. low-functioning visionary: 4
58. conceptual boundary setter: 5
59. practical boundary violator: 1
60. emotional objectification: 4
61. transactional intimacy: 5
62. avoidant, avoidant, narcissist: 1
63. narcissist, narcissist, avoidant: 5
64. Secure disorganized core wound collector: 4
65. inner critic volume enlightened: 5
66. external critic volume: 5
67. self-care as avoidance: 4
68. hyper-vigilance to tone: 5
69. tone-deafness to self: 1
70. pathological self-forgiveness: 5
71. chronic self-victimization: 4
72. radical acceptance of nothing: 3
73. shadow work procrastinator: 5
74. ego death (twice): 2
75. Top brain secure misalignment: 1
76. third eye myopia: 4
77. systemic attached avoidant narcissism: 5
78. individualized systemic trauma: 3

79. attachment theory apologist avoidant empath: 1
80. empirical data alternatives: 5
81. confirmation bias alternatives: 4
82. logic-avoidant attachment: 5
83. cognitive dissonance denier: 1
84. vibes-based epistemology: 5
85. manifest destiny dating narcissist empath: 3
86. quantum entanglement issues: 4
87. holistic grift susceptibility: 5
88. parameter-bloat fetish: 5
89. diagnostic inflation insecurity: 4
90. semantic satiation of "trauma": 5
91. jesus generator: 3
92. projective identification speedrun: 4
93. splitted personality: 2
94. idealization half-life: 1
95. devaluation velocity: 5
96. hoovering coefficient: 4
97. discard rationalization: 5
98. bipolar: 3
99. reactive abuse instigator: 5
100. pure, unfiltered, 432Hz avoidance: 1

(Sample: This, continues for another impressive 7,142 lines.)

Interpretation guide: Sum your scores, divide by 7,242, retro-narrate whatever crisis fits. The parameters are nebulous enough to predict, which is to say justify, anything. Which is exactly how the existing instruments work, except mine has more numbers.

Bonus Upgrade: Warshack-LLM Fusion Module (1,000 Parameters of Pure Projection)

Because why stop at 7,242 when you can add a thousand "Warshack" (trademark pending) associations? Each one is a vague inkblot prompt: "What do you see?" followed by an LLM that auto-scores your response for "narcissistic projection," "avoidant deflection," or "shadow-self leakage." The AI doesn't know what it's doing. It just pattern-matches your words against therapy memes and calls it insight. Sound familiar? It should. That's the entire field.

Sample Warshack items:

Inkblot #47: "Looks like a butterfly... or my moms disappointment." LLM eval: covert narcissism +2, avoidant attachment vector pattern antonyms. Antonym association. Avoidant, avoidant, avoidant.

Inkblot #12: "Two people arguing, but one is me and I'm right." Overt narcissism +5, entitlement +4.

If this looks ridiculous, congratulations. You've just seen the logical endpoint of attachment theory's taxonomic bloat. More parameters don't make it science. They make it expensive. But the market has clearly demonstrated that expensive and science-adjacent is a viable business model. I am simply entering the market with a superior product.

Conclusion

Here is what is true and what the math proves.

Attachment theory, as currently practiced and proliferated, rests on a sample that would not survive peer review in any adjacent discipline, extended to adults through a newspaper quiz, longitudinally validated at 4 to 16 percent of explained variance, and confounded by temperament and peer socialization at every turn. The stability coefficients are small. The cultural generalizability is absent. The self-fulfilling prophecy dynamics are documented (Fiserova et al., 2021; Grobman, 2015). The field's own consensus statement (Forsslund et al., 2022) acknowledges the misuse in applied settings. The facts are laid out. The math is right there. It has been right there for decades.

We owe ourselves decency. We owe ourselves credit to the truth. If you call yourself a psychologist, if you call yourself a scientist, if you call yourself anything that implies a relationship with evidence, then you have an obligation to stop contributing false narratives to bodies of work just because of the feels. Attachment theory is deficient. It is inarticulate. It is inarticulate. Not in the way that most science is provisionally inarticulate, waiting for better data. Inarticulate in the way that a framework built on insufficient evidence, extended past its breaking point, made unfalsifiable through semantic drift, and then imposed on people as identity, is inarticulate. The math proves it. The facts prove it. And if math and facts aren't your things, then maybe the rest of us would appreciate it if you stopped talking so loud.

But listen. I understand. People need frameworks. People need to feel understood. People need someone to tell them who they are and why their relationships are the way they are. I respect that need. I just think it should be met by a better product.

Which is why I am pleased to offer the Strattmeyer 7,242-Parameter Personality Assessment.

It is more holistic than anything currently on the market. It uses 7,242 parameters. That is more than four. It is more than seven. It is more than the ECR, the ASQ, the AAI, and the SSP combined, multiplied by a factor I haven't bothered to calculate because math is apparently optional in this field. The instrument will tell you exactly who you are. It will tell you who your soulmate is. It will explain your relationship dynamics using LLM vector mathematics, close-association modeling, and proprietary antonym technology that I invented. The preliminary validation study (N = 40) demonstrated that the instrument works, and by "works" I mean that people filled it out and had opinions about the results, which is the same evidentiary standard the field has been operating on since 1987.

The assessment operates on a rotary basis, meaning the parameters dynamically recalibrate based on the respondents current vibrational state, ensuring that the instrument captures the full holistic picture of your personality at the precise moment of administration. No other instrument offers this. No other instrument has this many parameters. No other instrument is this holistic. It is, by every metric the field has historically used to evaluate its own tools, the most comprehensive personality assessment ever created.

\$1,000 for the full assessment. Soulmate compatibility matrix included. Proprietary Warshack-LLM Fusion Module available as an add-on for those who want the deep projection-based analysis. Enterprise licensing for clinics and family court systems available upon request. For practitioners currently billing for attachment-based therapy: I am offering a professional discount, because I understand transition costs, and I want to make it as easy as possible for you to switch from a framework that explains 9 percent of the variance to one that explains all of it, using vibes, rotation, and 7,242 parameters of pure, unfiltered, super holistic insight Most < 7,242.

References

- Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation*. Erlbaum.
- Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Attachment*. Basic Books.
- Brennan, K. A., Clark, C. L., & Shaver, P. R. (1998). Self-report measurement of adult attachment: An integrative overview. In J. A. Simpson & W. S. Rholes (Eds.), *Attachment theory and close relationships* (pp. 46-76). Guilford Press.
- Eyer, D. (1992). *Mother-infant bonding: A scientific fiction*. Yale University Press.
- Fiserova, A., et al. (2021). The self-fulfilling prophecy of insecurity: Mediation effects of conflict communication styles on the association between adult attachment and relationship adjustment. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*.
- Forslund, T., et al. (2022). Attachment goes to court: Child protection and custody issues. *Attachment & Human Development*, 24(1), 1-34.
- Fraley, R. C. (2002). Attachment stability from infancy to adulthood: Meta-analysis and dynamic modeling of developmental mechanisms. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 6(2), 123-151.
- Grobman, K. H. (2015). Canalization: Bridging social & developmental psychology accounts of attachment theory with the confirmation bias & self-fulfilling prophecy. Society for Personality and Social Psychology presentation.
- Harris, J. R. (1998). *The nurture assumption: Why children turn out the way they do*. Free Press.
- Hazan, C., & Shaver, P. R. (1987). Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(3), 511-524.
- Kagan, J. (1994). *Galens prophecy: Temperament in human nature*. Basic Books.
- Main, M., & Solomon, J. (1986). Discovery of an insecure-disorganized/disoriented attachment pattern. In T. B. Brazelton & M. W. Yogman (Eds.), *Affective development in infancy* (pp. 95-124). Ablex.
- Opie, J. E., et al. (2020). Early childhood attachment stability and change: A meta-analysis. *Attachment & Human Development*, 23(6), 1-33.

Pinquart, M., Feussner, C., & Ahnert, L. (2013). Meta-analytic evidence for stability in attachments from infancy to early adulthood. *Attachment & Human Development*, 15(2), 189-218.

Smuts, J. C. (1926). *Holism and evolution*. Macmillan.

van IJzendoorn, M. H., & Kroonenberg, P. M. (1988). Cross-cultural patterns of attachment: A meta-analysis of the strange situation. *Child Development*, 59(1), 147-156.